13th August 1954]

SRI M. PALANIYANDI :—" ஸார், சர்க்கரை உற்பத்தி செய்து கொண்டிருக்கிற இந்த கிழக்கிந்தியா டிஸ்டிலரி கம்பெனியானது அங்கிருக்கும் தொழிலாளிகளைப் பழிவாங்கவேண்டுமென்ற நோக்கத்தோடு தொழிற்சாலையை அடிக்கடி மூடுவதும், திறப்பதுமாக இருந்துவருகிறது. இந்த ராஜ்யத்தில் சர்க்கரை பற்ருக்குறை இருந்தும்கூட அந்தக் கம்பெனியார் தங்கள் இஷ்டம்போல் தொழிற்சாலையை மூடிவிடுவதால், அதை சர்க்கார் கைக்கொள்வதற்கு முன்வருமா ?" 11-30 a.m.

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" The Government examined all aspects of the question and came to the conclusion I have just referred to."

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—" The Government have repeatedly given the assurance that in disputes relating to grant of bonus, the issue would normally be referred to a Tribunal for adjudication. Why should the Government in this particular case refuse to refer the issue for adjudication? "

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" It has been reported that the management of the factory has treated the 10 per cent additional bonus declared for the workers for the year 1953 as their contribution to the Provident fund. The Government, therefore, think that there is no case for adjudication in this matter."

SRI M. KALYANASUNDRAM :—" ஸார். தொழிலாளிகளுக்கு போனஸ் தொகை கொடுப்பதைப்பற்றி கன்ஸிலியேஷன் அதிகாரியினுடைய சிபாரிசு என்ன ? இதபோன்ற மற்ற பிரச்னைகளில் அட்ஜுடிகேஷனுக்கு விடுவதில் துரிதமாக இப்பொழுது கொஞ்சம் முயற்சி எடுத்து வருகிறதை நான் உணர்கிறேன். ஆனல், பாரி அண்டு கம்பெனி தகராறு சம்பந்தமாக அதை அட்ஜுடிகேஷனுக்குவிட சர்க்கார் மறுப்பதன் காரணம் என்ன ?"

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—" The hon. Member need not imagine that there is a sense of partiality on the part of the Government towards Parry and Company. When the Government took a decision on the question of adjudication, they did take into account the interests of the workers."

Extension of the railway border road at Kannammapet, Thyagarayanagar, Madras.

* 1395 Q.—SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN : Will the Hon. the Minister for Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether the residents of Kannammapet, Thyagarayanagar, Madras, have submitted a memorandum to the Government for the continuation of the railway border road to serve about 3,000 residents in the locality; and

(b) whether the formation and continuation of the road is dropped on account of the objections of the Commissioner, Corporation of Madras, in spite of the recommendations of the concerned Engineer for the construction of the road?

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" (a) Yes, Sir.

" (b) The matter is under the consideration of the Government."

[13th August 1954

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Is it not a fact that even though the Corporation of Madras was prepared to construct this road running along the railway line, it was only the Government that stood against the decision of the Corporation Council in this regard? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" From the information at my disposal, it seems that the Corporation has resolved to drop the scheme for construction of the road south of the Madley road."

SRI C. RAJAM RAMASAMY :—" Is it not a fact that the matter was considered fully by the Corporation Council and that it was the Corporation Council that objected to the scheme and not the Government? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" That is what I said."

SRI K. VINAYAKAM :—" Sir, the decision to drop the scheme was first taken by the Corporation Council but on reconsideration the Council agreed to the lengthening of this road along the railway line."

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" The *pros* and *cons* of this matter are under examination."

SRI V. R. NAGARAJAN :—" Whoever might have spoiled the scheme originally, now that the Government have decided to take up the scheme, may I request them to expedite the matter because the place in question has not been improved and remains as it was twenty-five years ago with six streets, each 30 feet broad, looking almost like blind alleys? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" The protests made against the dropping of the scheme are under consideration."

SRI V. BALAKRISHNAN :—" Is the Hon. Minister aware that the objections of the Corporation are chiefly and mainly due to a desire on its part to placate one individual, powerful and having pull with the Corporation and the Government against the primary and rudimentary needs of the humblest folk of the City and if so, will he, in company with Mr. Nagarajan and me, inspect the site to satisfy himself as to the reasonableness of the demands of the contending parties including the Corporation of Madras? "

THE HON. SRI A. B. SHETTY :—" I have already stated that the matter is under consideration."

SRI M. R. RAMACHANDRAN :—" What induced the Corporation to go back on their original resolution? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" Who can answer the question? "